



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/030,258	02/25/1998	RICHARD KENNETH SCHULTZ	12217-100	7125
------------	------------	-------------------------	-----------	------

27267 7590 04/04/2002

WIGGIN & DANA LLP
ATTENTION: PATENT DOCKETING
ONE CENTURY TOWER, P.O. BOX 1832
NEW HAVEN, CT 06508-1832

EXAMINER

LAO, SUE X

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2151

DATE MAILED: 04/04/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.
09/030,258

Applicant(s)
Schultz, et al

Examiner
S. Lao

Art Unit
2151



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug 13, 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-46 and 60-63 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-46 and 60-63 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

- 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

- 15) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 16) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 19) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 17) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____ 20) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-46 and 60-63 are pending. This action is in response to the amendment filed 8/13/2001. Applicant has amended claims 1, 4-14, 16, 29-34, 37, 38, 41, 42, 60, 62 and 63.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

3. Claims 1-46 and 60-61, 63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Skillman et al (5,506,999) and Fischer (U. S. Pat. 5,337,360).

As to claim 1, Skillman teaches a plurality of processing units each executing a portion of an overall application and a centralized event-driven control to integrate the distributed processing. In particular, Skillman teaches [col. 4, line 37 - col. 9, line 29] data processing system (blackboard parallel processing system), comprising:

a plurality of event modules (knowledge source processors KSPs) each including code (knowledge source / event driven application) that generates an event data signal (trigger signal) representative of a particular event (upon occurrence of predefined condition / event);

a plurality of processing modules (knowledge source processors KSPs) distributed over the data processing system (fig. 4) each including code (knowledge source / event driven application) that provides processed data (output) [col. 6, lines 23-34];

a task module (blackboard control unit BCU 32, 106), selectively communicating (via communication module 38) with each of the plurality of event modules and the plurality of distributed processing modules (fig.s 3, 4), the task module including code for selecting and instantiating a plurality of instructions (initiate/spawn a knowledge source process) that corresponds to the event data signal (trigger pattern is matched) and for executing the instructions (run processing) [col. 6, lines 23-34; col. 7, lines 5-30; col. 14, line 13 - col. 15, line 24].

Skillman further teaches dynamic information which includes statuses (execution is completed) of the distributed processing modules (KSPs) and the processed data (output of KSP's processing); during execution of the instructions the task module provides the dynamic information to the instructions for incorporation therein (processing output from a preceding KSP is transferred to database 34 of BCU which is then dispatched to the next KSP by scheduler 42); upon completion of the currently executing instruction (when a KSP completes its processing), the task module evaluates the incorporated dynamic information (trigger module of BCU determines if the output sent to database 34 matches a trigger definition) and selectively executes, based upon the incorporated dynamic information, the next instructions (if a match is found, scheduler sends a trigger message to start execution of the next KSP). See col. 7, lines 5-30; col. 15, lines 16-44; col. 18, lines 11-53.

In other words, Skillman controls the flow of an overall processing sequence by dispatching a trigger message and required data to the next KSP for processing. A Skillman's trigger message is the instruction(s) to the next KSP based on the processing output of the previous KSP in the sequence. Although Skillman does not call such instructions scripts, it would have been an obvious to do so. Skillman does not explicitly teach that the instructions/script proceed from a first one to a second one of the distributed processing modules for processing a next instruction of the overall processing.

Fischer teaches distributed data processing, wherein instructions (traveling program) proceed from a first one to a second one of distributed processing modules (transmit itself to the next destination) for processing a next instruction in the sequence of the overall processing (to collect, edit and approve data). See col. 2, line 62 - col. 3, line 11. The execution of next instruction is based on the incorporated dynamic information (status 112). See col. 9, line 67 - col. 10, line 16.

Given the teaching of Fischer, it would have been obvious for the instructions/script of Skillman to proceed from a first one to a second one of the distributed processing modules for processing a next instruction in the sequence of the overall processing.

The motivations to combine the teachings of Skillman and Fischer includes the following. Skillman teaches concurrent parallel execution of KSPs (col. 14, lines 13-53), which, to one of ordinary skill in the art, would require a mechanism to generate multiple instances of required data / processing parameters to be dispatched to each KSP. Skillman does not provide such a mechanism. Fischer on the other hand provides a mechanism to generate multiple instances of required data / processing parameters to be dispatched to each distributed processing modules (col. 26, line 53 - col. 27, line 13). Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use the mechanism of Fischer to generate multiple instances of required data / processing parameters so that they can be dispatched to each concurrent parallel distributed processing modules for processing.

As to claim 2, Skillman teaches the task module executes two or more of the plurality of scripts substantially simultaneously (parallel processing, col. 3, lines 11-32).

As to claim 3, Skillman teaches converter module (trigger module 46), in communication with the task module, including code that maps the event data signal to instructions/scripts upon reception of the event data signal by the task module [col. 6, lines 23-34].

As to claim 4, Skillman teaches the plurality of distributed processing modules (KSPs) provide event data signals (event), representative of particular events, to the task module. [col. 18, lines 42-53].

As to claims 5-7, Skillman teaches status monitoring module (trigger module, database module), in communication with the task module (BCU kernel), including code (global scheduler instructions in Table 1) that provides the status information to the task module including operating conditions of (start successful); in direct communication with the plurality of distributed processing modules (fig. 3); the status monitoring module stores data associated with the instance (output) of the selected instruction/script in an associated memory (database 36). [col. 13, line 50-54].

As to claims 8-9, Skillman teaches load balancing module (scheduler module), in communication with the task module (BCU kernel), including code that dynamically selects available ones of the plurality of distributed processing modules to perform processing

(based on computational availability); in direct communication with the plurality of distributed processing modules (fig. 3). [col. 4, lines 3-8; col. 15, line 64 - col. 16, line 18].

As to claims 10-12, Skillman teaches bidirectionally and substantially simultaneously transmitting data between (parallel processing), resource management module (trigger module and scheduler module) for monitoring event data signal (trigger module) and for converting functionality (trigger message) in response to dynamic information regarding and available distributed processing modules to maximize (trigger least loaded KSP). [col. 6, lines 23-34; col. 7, lines 5-30; col. 14, line 13 - col. 15, line 24].

As to claims 13-19, Skillman teaches initiator modules / communication interfaces (communication module 38), regardless of native applications (KS robot, KS vision), and protocols / communication interfaces (application interfaces, KSOSs) between various modules of the system [col. 5, line 56 - col. 6, line 34].

As to claim 20, Fischer teaches instructions/scripts (traveling program including its data structure) is preprogrammed to iteratively update its contents (loop to examine all FCB) [col. 9, line 67 - col. 10, line 16; col. 18, line 14 - col. 20, line 23]. Note discussion of claim 1 for motivation to combine.

As to claims 21-23, Skillman teaches storage module / persistent memory (database 34, 36).

As to claims 24-26, Skillman teaches script/instruction building module (trigger definitions defined in file TRIGGER.DEFS.), standard language interface ('C' programming language), GUI (display interface 148) [col. 12, lines 23-42].

As to claim 27, Skillman teaches dynamically updates and modifies (change KS in a dynamic fashion to meet requirements) scripts/instructions (KS) [it is noted that a general processing task, ie, scripts/instructions, of Skillman is implemented through knowledge sources and thus dynamic changes to the general processing task, ie, scripts/instructions, is represented by dynamic changes to the knowledge sources.]

As to claims 28-30, Skillman teaches protocols (inherent to communication interfaces 38), responder module (KSOS 112) to transmit response data from execution

(pose output) [discussion of claim 1] and to convert format (translate between data formats) [col. 17, lines 53-62].

As to claims 31-32, Skillman teaches an administrative module (communication module 38, database module 34) that receives and presents data, and plurality of application peripherals (robot computer 134, vision computer 136) in communication with an associated one of the plurality of distributed processing modules / event modules (KSP 110b, KSP 110c) [col. 8, line 42 - col. 9, line 6].

As to claim 33, note discussion of claim 1, Skillman as modified further teaches resource management module (trigger module 46 and scheduler module 42) communicating with (fig.s 3, 4), for monitoring event data signals (output, event), for converting data processing functionality (dispatch/send another trigger signal) in response to dynamic information (trigger pattern match and completion status) regarding the monitored event data signals (output, event) and the number of available distributed processing modules (based on computational capability and availability at the time of selection) to maximize number (run KSPs in parallel) of the distributed processing modules processing the event data signals [col. 4, lines 4-8; col. 6, lines 23-34; col. 7, lines 5-30; col. 14, line 13 - col. 15, line 24].

As to claim 34, note discussion of claim 1, Skillman teaches generating at least one event data signal (output, event) at one or more peripheral modules (robot computer 134, vision computer 136) and mapping the at least one event data signal to a selected script (portion of overall processing provided by KS) chosen from one or more scripts (KSs), each the one or more scripts having one or more instructions (processing capability) [col. 4, lines 4-8; col. 6, lines 23-34; col. 7, lines 5-30; col. 8, line 42 - col. 9, line 6; col. 14, line 13 - col. 15, line 24]; col. 19, lines 42-53.

As to claims 35-37, Skillman teaches communication interface (communication module 38, KSOS 110b, 110c), dynamically managing (scheduling, triggering) operating functions (KS) of the one or more peripheral modules (devices), response data signals as a result of the execution of selected instructions (previous processing output), transmitting the response data signals from the task module to (trigger message, local

database/scheduler functions, table 2) selected ones of the one or more peripheral modules (KSPs) [col. 4, lines 4-8; col. 8, line 42 - col. 9, line 6; col. 13, lines 11-49; col. 14, line 13 - col. 15, line 24; col. 19, lines 42-53].

As to claims 38-40, refer to claims 32, 21 and 23, respectively, for discussions.

As to claims 41-43, 45, Skillman teaches interface/communication between the task module and selected ones of the one or more peripheral modules (communication module 38, KSOS 110b, 110c), wherein a communication protocol would have been inherent for each KSP; interfacing with a plurality of the one or more peripheral modules substantially simultaneously (fig. 3 and 4); preceding instructions/processing to available processing modules (based on computational capability and availability at the time of selection). [col. 4, lines 4-8; col. 8, line 42 - col. 9, line 6; col. 13, lines 11-49; col. 14, line 13 - col. 15, line 24; col. 19, lines 42-53]. Note discussion of claim 1 with respect to Fischer for preceding a script / instructions / portion of overall processing task to processing module(s).

As to claims 44 and 46, refer to claims 1 and 31, respectively, for discussions.

As to claim 45, Skillman as modified teaches the execution of the one or more instructions dynamically incorporates data gathered in previously executed instructions (Skillman, output from one KSP triggers is transferred to another KSP for processing, col. 7, lines 5-18) (Fischer, traveling program transmit itself and collected/attached data to next destination for processing, col. 9, line 67 - col. 10, line 17).

As to claim 60, note discussion of claim 1 and the equivalence of event data / event data signal. Skillman further teaches response profile (posted to global database) including results generated (processing output) and transmitting (send with trigger message) the response profile to the requesting event modules (next KSP in overall processing sequence) [col. 7, lines 5-30; col. 15, lines 16-44; col. 18, lines 11-53].

As to claim 61, Skillman teaches event data (predefined event, col. 18, lines 42-53).

As to claim 63, note discussion of claim 1. Skillman teaches first and second events because each of the KSPs is a source of event generation.

4. Claim 62 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Skillman et al and Fischer as applied to claim 60 and further in view of Waclawsky (U S Pat. 5,493,689).

As to claim 62, Waclawsky teaches tracing execution of instructions (trace, col. 1, lines 43-67). Continuing execution from a last traced instruction after failure modules from a last traced instruction is met by the well known roll-back protocol of transactional processing. Given the teaching of Waclawsky, it would have been obvious to include tracing and continuing steps into Skillman as modified. The motivation to combine the teachings includes the following. Skillman teaches select next processing module for processing based on load conditions [col. 15, lines 64-67], which, to one of ordinary skill in the art, would require a mechanism to collect and analyze load data from processing modules. Skillman does not provide such a mechanism. Waclawsky on the other hand, provides a mechanism for collecting and analyzing load data from processing modules (col. 1, line 43 - col. 2, line 62). Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use the mechanism of Waclawsky to collect and analyze load data so that selection of next KSP can be made based on load conditions.

5. Applicant's arguments filed 8/13/2001 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sue Lao whose telephone number is (703) 305-9657. A voice mail service is also available at this number. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7238 for After Final communications, (703) 746-7239 for Official communications and (703) 746-7240 for Non-Official/Draft communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

Sue Lao
April 1, 2002

